

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

TIMOTHY PRATT,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	8:12CV28
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
PUPPCHEN, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court *sua sponte*, and pursuant to [NECivR 41.2](#), which states in pertinent part: "At any time, a case not being prosecuted with reasonable diligence may be dismissed for lack of prosecution." In this case the complaint was filed on January 17, 2011. **See** [Filing No. 1](#). The plaintiff filed notices of executing a summons on each of the four defendants between February 1, 2012, and February 27, 2012. **See** Filing Nos. [5](#), [10](#), [11](#), and [12](#). No other progress has taken place in this matter. It remains the plaintiff's duty to go forward in prosecuting the case by, for example, filing a motion for clerk's entry of default pursuant to [Fed. R. Civ. P. 55](#) and [NECivR 55.1\(a\)](#), as appropriate. Upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED:

The plaintiff shall have until the close of business **on May 10, 2012**, to file a motion for clerk's entry of default or show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

Dated this 26th day of April, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge